arXiv:1602.01403v3 [math.RT] 3 Aug 2016

Equivariant differential operators on spinors in conformal geometry

Libor Křižka, Petr Somberg

Abstract

We present a novel approach to the classification of conformally equivariant differential operators on spinors in the case of homogeneous conformal geometry. It is based on the classification of solutions for a vector-valued system of partial differential equations, associated to $\mathcal{D}$-modules for the homogeneous conformal structure and controlled by the spin Howe duality for the orthogonal Lie algebras.

Keywords: Conformal structure, $\mathcal{D}$-modules, spinor representation, generalized Verma modules, conformally equivariant differential operators.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53A30, 15A66, 20G05.

Contents

Introduction **1**

1 Equivariant differential operators and algebraic analysis on generalized Verma modules **2**

2 Geometrical and representation theoretical aspects of conformal structure **4**
2.1 The geometrical model of homogeneous conformal structure 4
2.2 Representation theory of conformal geometry 5
2.3 Description of the representation 6

3 Generalized Verma modules and singular vectors **8**

4 Equivariant differential operators on spinors in conformal geometry **12**

Appendix A The Fischer decomposition for $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ **13**

Introduction

The concept of conformal symmetry canonically extends (pseudo)Riemannian structure, and so it naturally arises in various functional, analytical and geometrical problems on manifolds equipped with a conformal class of metrics.

There are two types of conformally equivariant differential operators acting on spinor fields. Namely, in the case of positive definite metrics we have a class of elliptic operators called the conformal powers of the Dirac operator and a class of overdetermined twistor operators. The basic first order representatives in these sets, the Dirac and the twistor operators, are ubiquitous in analysis, geometry and representation theory, see e.g. [8], [1], [7], and the references therein.

In the present short article we exploit the general framework of [11], [10], [12], and give a short, self-content and signature of the underlying metric independent classification statement, which can be easily modified and adopted to many analogous problems (e.g. the classification

for higher spinor representations). This classification result concerns the conformally equivariant differential operators acting on spinor fields. Though well-known to specialists, it is difficult to find an explicit statement in the existing literature. The first such classification was presented in the paper [2]. For a general classification scheme we refer to [13], but being expressed in terms of the representation theoretical data it lacks the explicit description of the origin and presentation for such operators. The translation principle was used to obtain the classification of curved extensions in [6].

Our approach is based on the techniques of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, emerging in the reformulation of the former problem for the existence and construction of conformally equivariant differential operators to a question on the existence and construction of the space of homomorphisms between certain algebraic objects called conformal generalized Verma modules. The space of homomorphisms is detected by special elements called singular vectors, which are the solution spaces of a system of PDEs constructed out of the action of certain elements in the conformal Lie algebra. This observation in tandem with the spin Howe duality for the orthogonal Lie algebra (the simple part of the Levi subalgebra of the conformal Lie algebra) allows to complete the classification task.

The content of our article goes as follows. Section 1 contains a general introduction into the techniques used in the article, based on the approach of algebraic analysis on $\mathcal{D}$-modules and leading to the class of conformal generalized Verma modules needed throughout the letter. In Section 2 we overview several geometrical and representation theoretical aspects of the homogeneous conformal structure with emphasis on the spinor representation, and then in Section 3 formulate and prove the classification result for the singular vectors in conformal generalized Verma modules induced from the spinor representation twisted by characters. In Section 4 we dualize the results achieved in Section 3 and obtain the classification of conformal equivariant differential operators on spinors. For the reader's convenience, we summarize the spin Howe duality for the orthogonal Lie algebra in terms of the Fischer decomposition.

Due to a uniform description of the conformal symmetry we restrict to the underlying dimension $n \geq 3$. Throughout the article, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0$ denotes the natural numbers including zero.

1 Equivariant differential operators and algebraic analysis on generalized Verma modules

Let us consider the pair (G, P), consisting of a connected real reductive Lie group G and its parabolic subgroup P. In the Levi decomposition $P = LU$, L denotes the Levi subgroup and U the unipotent radical of P. We write $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{l}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{R})$ for the real Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{l}$, $\mathfrak{u}$ for the complexified Lie algebras of G, P, L, U, respectively. The symbol U applied to a Lie algebra denotes its universal enveloping algebra.

It is well-known that the G-equivariant differential operators acting on principal series representations for G can be recognized in the study of homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The latter homomorphisms are determined by the image of the highest weight vectors and are referred to as the singular vectors, characterized as the vectors in the generalized Verma module annihilated by the positive nilradical $\mathfrak{u}$.

We shall rely on the following approach to find precise positions of singular vectors in a given representation space, cf. [11], [10] for a detailed exposition. First of all, in the present article $\mathbb{V}$ denotes a complex simple finite dimensional L-module, extended to P-module by U acting trivially. We denote by $\mathbb{V}^*$ the dual P-module to $\mathbb{V}$. Let us assume that $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ defines a group character $e^\lambda \colon P \to \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$ of P, and define $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\rho(X) = \tfrac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{u}} \operatorname{ad}(X) \tag{1.1}$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then we define a twisted P-module $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ with a twist $\lambda + \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$, where $p \in P$ acts as $e^{\lambda+\rho}(p)p \cdot v$ instead of $p \cdot v$ for all $v \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho} \simeq \mathbb{V}$ (the isomorphism of vector spaces). In the present article, $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{S}$ is the finite-dimensional (semi)simple $L \simeq (\mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{R})_+ \times \mathrm{Spin}(p, q, \mathbb{R}))$-module ($p + q \geq 3$) given by the spinor representation twisted by character.

For a chosen principal series representation of G on the vector space $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho})$ of smooth sections of the homogeneous vector bundle $G\times_P\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}\to G/P$ associated to a P-module $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$, we compute the infinitesimal action

$$\pi_\lambda\colon\mathfrak{g}\to\mathcal{D}(U_e)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $\mathcal{D}(U_e)$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of smooth complex linear differential operators on $U_e=\overline{U}P\subset G/P$ ($\overline{U}$ is the Lie group whose Lie algebra is the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{R})$), on the vector space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U_e)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ of $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$-valued smooth functions on U_e in the non-compact picture of the induced representation.

Since the vector space $\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ of $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$-valued distributions on U_e supported in the unit coset $o=eP\in G/P$ is $\mathcal{D}(U_e)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$-module, we obtain the infinitesimal action of $\pi_\lambda(X)$ for $X\in\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$. The exponential map allows to identify U_e with the nilpotent Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})$. Denoting by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}$ the Weyl algebra of the complex vector space $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, the vector space $\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e)$ can be conveniently analyzed by identifying it as an $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}$-module with the quotient of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}$ by the left ideal I_e generated by all polynomials on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ vanishing at the origin. Moreover, there is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphism

$$\Phi_\lambda\colon M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})\equiv U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}\to\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}\simeq\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}/I_e\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n)$ be the linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ and let $(y_1,y_2,\dots,y_n)$ be the dual linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*$. Then the algebraic Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}\colon\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}\to\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*} \tag{1.4}$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(x_i)=-\partial_{y_i},\qquad\mathcal{F}(\partial_{x_i})=y_i \tag{1.5}$$

for $i=1,2,\dots,n$, and gives a vector space isomorphism

$$\tau\colon\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}/I_e\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}/\mathcal{F}(I_e)\simeq\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \tag{1.6}$$

defined by

$$Q\bmod I_e\mapsto\mathcal{F}(Q)\bmod\mathcal{F}(I_e) \tag{1.7}$$

for $Q\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}$. The composition of the previous mappings (1.3) and (1.6) gives a vector space isomorphism

$$\tau\circ\Phi_\lambda\colon U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}, \tag{1.8}$$

thereby inducing the action $\hat{\pi}_\lambda\colon\mathfrak{g}\to\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$. The polynomial algebra on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a complex (semi)simple finite-dimensional L-module, extended to a P-module by U acting trivially. We define the L-module

$$M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V})^{\mathfrak{u}}=\{v\in M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V});\,Xv=0\text{ for all }X\in\mathfrak{u}\} \tag{1.9}$$

and denote it the vector space of singular vectors.

The vector space of singular vectors is for any finite-dimensional complex (semi)simple P-module $\mathbb{V}$ a finite-dimensional completely reducible L-module. We denote by $\mathbb{W}$ one of its simple L-submodules, and this yields $U(\mathfrak{g})$-homomorphism from $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{W})$ to $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V})$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g},P)}(M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{W}),M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V}))\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_L(\mathbb{W},M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V})^{\mathfrak{u}}). \tag{1.10}$$

We introduce the L-module

$$\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}=\{f\in\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho};\,\hat{\pi}_\lambda(X)f=0\text{ for all }X\in\mathfrak{u}\},\tag{1.11}$$

and by (1.8), there is an L-equivariant isomorphism

$$\tau\circ\Phi_\lambda\colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{u}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}.\tag{1.12}$$

The action of $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(X)$ on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$ produces a system of partial differential equations for the elements in $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}$, which makes possible to describe completely the structure of its solution space in particular cases of interest. Namely, the algebraic Fourier transform on $\mathcal{D}$-modules converts the algebraic problem of finding singular vectors in generalized Verma modules into an analytic problem of solving the systems of partial differential equations.

The formulation above has the following classical dual statement, cf. [3], [12], which explains the relationship between the geometrical problem of finding G-equivariant differential operators between induced representations and the algebraic problem of finding homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules. Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be two simple finite-dimensional P-modules. Then the vector space of G-equivariant differential operators $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Diff}(G)}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{V}),\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{W}))$ is isomorphic to the vector space of $(\mathfrak{g},P)$-homomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g},P)}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{W}^*),M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V}^*))$.

2 Geometrical and representation theoretical aspects of conformal structure

In the present section we describe the rudiments of the geometry of the homogeneous (flat) conformal structure, with emphasis on representation theoretical aspects of the spinor representation. The dimension n of the underlying space is supposed to be at least three due to a uniform behavior of the conformal symmetry for $n\geq 3$. We shall treat the case of general signature (p,q), $p+q=n$, so the conformal Lie group is the real form $\mathrm{SO}_o(p+1,q+1,\mathbb{R})$.

2.1 The geometrical model of homogeneous conformal structure

The generalized flag manifold describing the homogeneous (flat) model of real conformal structure of signature (p,q), $p+q=n$, is the homogeneous space $G/P\simeq S^{p,q}$, where $S^{p,q}$ is the quadric in $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ and the connected Lie group $G=\mathrm{SO}_o(p+1,q+1,\mathbb{R})$ is the group of automorphisms of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ preserving the inner product $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$ of signature $(p+1,q+1)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ corresponding to the matrix

$$J_{p+1,q+1}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&1\\0&I_{p,q}&0\\1&0&0\end{pmatrix},\qquad I_{p,q}=\begin{pmatrix}I_p&0\\0&-I_q\end{pmatrix},\tag{2.1}$$

where I_n means the identity matrix $n\times n$, and $P\subset G$ is the conformal parabolic subgroup.

Let $(x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n,x_\infty)$ be the canonical linear coordinate functions on $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$, and denote $x_A=(x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n,x_\infty)=(x_0,x_a,x_\infty)$ with $x_a=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n)$. The null cone of $(\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1},\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle)$ is defined as $\mathcal{N}_{p,q}=\{x_A\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1};\,\langle x_A,x_A\rangle=0\}$, and its projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{p,q})$ is the conformal sphere $(S^{p,q},[g_0])$. The conformal class $[g_0]$ of the round metric g_0 on $S^{p,q}$ is induced by the map $x_a\to\langle x_A\,,x_A\rangle$, where $x_a\in TS^{p,q}$. The tangent space $TS^{p,q}$ is identified with the space of classes of vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ of homogeneity one, which are orthogonal to x_A with respect to $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$. A λ-density on $S^{p,q}$ is identified with a function of homogeneity λ on the null cone $\mathcal{N}_{p,q}$. As for the spinor bundle $\mathcal{S}$ on $S^{p,q}$, it can be identified with the associated vector bundle whose fiber at the point on $S^{p,q}$ corresponding to the line $[v]\in\mathcal{N}_{p,q}$ is given by the quotient space $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}/(x_A\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$. Here we used the notation $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ for the trivial spinor bundle on $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$, and the vector x_A is regarded as an element of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})\simeq\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1},J_{p+1,q+1})$ acting by the Clifford multiplication on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

The Lie group G acts transitively on the space of lines in $\mathcal{N}_{p,q}$ by $(g,[v])\mapsto[g.v]$ for $0\neq v\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$, $g\in \mathrm{SO}_o(p+1,q+1,\mathbb{R})$. The stabilizer of the null ray $[(1,0,\dots,0)]$ is the real conformal parabolic subgroup $P\simeq(\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{R})_+\times\mathrm{SO}(p,q,\mathbb{R}))\ltimes\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$,

$$P=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}\chi(A)a & -av^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}A & -\frac{a}{2}v^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}v\\ 0 & A & v\\ 0 & 0 & \chi(A)a^{-1}\end{pmatrix};\ \begin{array}{c}a\in\mathbb{R}_+,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^{p,q},\ A\in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})\\ \det A=1,\ A^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}A=I_{p,q}\end{array}\right\},\tag{2.2}$$

where the superscript in v^{t} denotes the transpose of v and $\chi\colon\ \mathrm{SO}(p,q,\mathbb{R})\to\{-1,1\}$ is the multiplicative character defined by $\chi(A)=1$ for $A\in\mathrm{SO}_o(p,q,\mathbb{R})$ and $\chi(A)=-1$ if A does not belong to the component of identity of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q,\mathbb{R})$. In the Dynkin diagrammatic notation, the Lie algebra of P is given by omitting the first simple root in the B,D-series of simple real Lie algebras.

Because of our interest in the half-integral representations, we need the double cover of the connected component of the Lie group $G=\mathrm{SO}_o(p+1,q+1,\mathbb{R})$ called the spin group $\widetilde{G}=\mathrm{Spin}_o(p+1,q+1,\mathbb{R})$. There is a double cover homomorphism $\psi\colon\widetilde{G}\to G$ of Lie groups, and if we define the parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{P}$ of $\widetilde{G}$ by $\widetilde{P}=\psi^{-1}(P)$, then the simple part of the Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{P}$ is of the form $\widetilde{M}\simeq\mathrm{Spin}(p,q,\mathbb{R})$ and the mapping ψ induces an isomorphism of generalized flag manifolds $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{P}\simeq G/P$.

For more detailed introduction into conformal geometry we refer e.g. to [4] and the references therein.

2.2 Representation theory of conformal geometry

Let us consider the connected complex simple Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathrm{SO}(n+2,\mathbb{C})$, $n\geq 3$, defined by

$$\mathrm{SO}(n+2,\mathbb{C})=\{X\in\mathrm{GL}(n+2,\mathbb{C});\ X^{\mathrm{t}}J_{p+1,q+1}X=J_{p+1,q+1}\},\tag{2.3}$$

and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}(n+2,\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{so}(n+2,\mathbb{C})&=\{X\in M_{n+2,n+2}(\mathbb{C});\ X^{\mathrm{t}}J_{p+1,q+1}+J_{p+1,q+1}X=0\}\\&=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}a & v^{\mathrm{t}} & 0\\ u & A & -I_{p,q}v\\ 0 & -u^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q} & -a\end{pmatrix};\ \begin{array}{c}a\in\mathbb{C},\ u,v\in\mathbb{C}^m,\ A\in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}),\\ A^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}+I_{p,q}A=0\end{array}\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

The standard parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by

$$P=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}a & -av^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}A & -\frac{a}{2}v^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}v\\ 0 & A & v\\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1}\end{pmatrix};\ \begin{array}{c}a\in\mathbb{C},\ v\in\mathbb{C}^n,\ A\in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}),\\ a\neq 0,\ \det A=1,\ A^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}A=I_{p,q}\end{array}\right\}\tag{2.5}$$

and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{p}=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}a & v^{\mathrm{t}} & 0\\ 0 & A & -I_{p,q}v\\ 0 & 0 & -a\end{pmatrix};\ \begin{array}{c}a\in\mathbb{C},\ u,v\in\mathbb{C}^m,\ A\in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}),\\ A^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}+I_{p,q}A=0\end{array}\right\}.\tag{2.6}$$

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{u}$ the nilradical of the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ and by $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ the opposite niradical. Then we have a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\overline{\mathfrak{u}}\oplus\mathfrak{l}\oplus\mathfrak{u}$, where $\mathfrak{l}$ is the Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$.

We choose a basis $(f_1,f_2,\dots,f_n)$ of the commutative opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ by

$$f_i=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0\\ 1_i & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\varepsilon_i 1_i^{\mathrm{t}} & 0\end{pmatrix},\tag{2.7}$$

where $\varepsilon_i=1$ for $i=1,2,\dots,p$ and $\varepsilon_i=-1$ for $i=p+1,p+2,\dots,n$, and a basis $(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_n)$ of the commutative nilradical $\mathfrak{u}$ by

$$g_i=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1_i^{\mathrm{t}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon_i 1_i\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}.\tag{2.8}$$

The Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ is the linear span of

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad h_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $A \in M_{n,n,}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies $A^{\mathrm{t}} I_{p,q} + I_{p,q} A = 0$. Moreover, the element h is a basis of the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{l}$.

The real connected simple Lie group G and its real parabolic subgroup P are defined as the identity components of $G_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathrm{GL}(n+2,\mathbb{R})$ and $P_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathrm{GL}(n+2,\mathbb{R})$, respectively, and their real Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R})$, respectively.

Any character $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\lambda = \alpha\widetilde{\omega} \tag{2.10}$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$ is defined by $\widetilde{\omega}(h) = 1$, $\widetilde{\omega}(h_A) = 0$ and then trivially extended to $\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. The vector $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$ defined by (1.1) is

$$\rho = \tfrac{n}{2}\widetilde{\omega}. \tag{2.11}$$

By abuse of notation, we use the simplified notation $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$ for the character $\lambda\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

2.3 Description of the representation

Here we describe the representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ on the space of sections of vector bundles on $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{P} \simeq G/P$ associated to the (semi)simple spinor representation $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda+\rho}$ of $\widetilde{P}$ twisted by characters $\lambda + \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$.

The induced representations in question are described in the non-compact picture, restricting sections on G/P to the open Schubert cell U_e isomorphic by the exponential map to the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us denote by $(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$ the linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ with respect to the basis $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ of the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, and by $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ the dual linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*$. Then the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by

$$\{\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n, \partial_{\hat{x}_1}, \dots, \partial_{\hat{x}_n}\} \tag{2.12}$$

and the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}\}. \tag{2.13}$$

The local coordinate chart $u_e \colon x \in U_e \mapsto u_e(x) \in \overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ for the open subset $U_e \subset G/P$, in coordinates with respect to the basis $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, is given by

$$u_e(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u^i(x) f_i \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x \in U_e$.

Let $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$, $\sigma \colon \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V})$, be a $\mathfrak{p}$-module. Then a twisted $\mathfrak{p}$-module $(\sigma_\lambda, \mathbb{V}_\lambda)$, $\sigma_\lambda \colon \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V}_\lambda)$, with a twist $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$, is defined as

$$\sigma_\lambda(X)v = \sigma(X)v + \lambda(X)v \tag{2.15}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $v \in \mathbb{V}_\lambda \simeq \mathbb{V}$ (as vector spaces).

Let us introduce the notation

$$E_x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \partial_{x_j} \qquad \text{and} \qquad E_{\hat{x}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{x}_j \partial_{\hat{x}_j}. \tag{2.16}$$

for the Euler homogeneity operators.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$ and let $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$, $\sigma \colon \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V})$, be a $\mathfrak{p}$-module. Then the embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is given by

1)

$$\pi_\lambda(f_i) = -\partial_{\hat{x}_i}, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_\lambda(f_i) = -x_i, \tag{2.18}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$;

2)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(h) &= E_{\hat{x}} + \sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h), \\ \pi_\lambda(h_A) &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\hat{x}_j\partial_{\hat{x}_i} + \sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_A), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(h) &= -E_x + \sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h), \\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(h_A) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_i\partial_{x_j} + \sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_A) \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for $A \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $A^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q} + I_{p,q}A = 0$;

3)

$$\pi_\lambda(g_i) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_i\sum_{j=1}^{n}\varepsilon_j\hat{x}_j^2\partial_{\hat{x}_i} + \hat{x}_iE_{\hat{x}} + \hat{x}_i\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\hat{x}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_jE_{ij}-E_{ji}}) \tag{2.21}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_i) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_ix_i\sum_{j=1}^{n}\varepsilon_j\partial_{x_j}^2 + \partial_{x_i}E_x - \partial_{x_i}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h) - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_{x_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_jE_{ij}-E_{ji}}) \tag{2.22}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of a straightforward but tedious verification of all commutation relations. Another possibility is the application of general formula for the representation action of $\mathfrak{g}$ given in, e.g. [10]. □

Now, we shall fix a realization of the twisted complex (semi)simple spinor representation $(\sigma_\lambda, \mathbb{S}_\lambda)$ of $\mathfrak{so}(p, q, \mathbb{R})$. Since the simple part $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$ of the complex Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$, we realize the (semi)simple spinor module of $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ as the representation of $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$ on the exterior algebra of a Lagrangian subspace in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let us denote by $\mathbb{S}^n_\pm$ the irreducible half-spinor representations for $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with n even, and by $\mathbb{S}^n$ the spinor representation for $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with n odd. The generators of $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$ act on the spinor module by the Clifford multiplication

$$\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}, \quad \sigma(h_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_jE_{ij}-E_{ji}}) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_ie_ie_j - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} \tag{2.23}$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We used the convention $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^n_+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^n_-$ for n even and $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^n$ for n odd, and denoted by $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}$ the complex Clifford algebra for the symmetric bilinear form given by $\langle v, w\rangle_{p,q} = v^{\mathrm{t}}I_{p,q}w$. The complex Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}$ is an associative unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra given by quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by a two-sided ideal $I \subset T(\mathbb{C}^n)$, generated by

$$v \cdot w + w \cdot v = -2\langle v, w\rangle_{p,q}1 \tag{2.24}$$

for all $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In the canonical basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{p+q}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}$, we have $e_i \cdot e_i = -\varepsilon_i 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p + q$.

The representation of $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$ extends to a representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ by the trivial action of the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ and by the trivial action of the nilradical $\mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. We retain the notation $\sigma\colon \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{S})$ for

the extended action of the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. In what follows, we are interested in the twisted $\mathfrak{p}$-module $\sigma_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)$ with a twist $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$.

The following $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$-invariant differential operators

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j \partial_{x_j}, \qquad E = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \partial_{x_j}, \qquad X = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j e_j x_j \tag{2.25}$$

are the generators of the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1,2,\mathbb{C})$. The consequences of our conventions for the complex Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}$ include

$$D^2 = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j \partial_{x_j}^2, \qquad X^2 = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j x_j^2. \tag{2.26}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$. Then the embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is given by

$$\hat{\pi}_\lambda(f_i) = -x_i, \tag{2.27}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(h) &= -E_x + \lambda - \tfrac{n}{2}, \\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(h_A) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_i \partial_{x_j} + \sigma(h_A) \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

for $A \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $A^{\mathrm{t}} I_{p,q} + I_{p,q} A = 0$,

$$\hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_i) = \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_i x_i D^2 + \partial_{x_i}(E_x - \lambda + \tfrac{n}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}) + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_i e_i D \tag{2.29}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward combination of Theorem 2.1 and the spinor representation (2.23) twisted by character $\lambda - \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$. A direct derivation of this action for the twisted spinor representations is given in [11]. □

3 Generalized Verma modules and singular vectors

In what follows the generators $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ of the graded commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]$ have the grading $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. As there is a canonical isomorphism of left $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}/\mathcal{F}(I_e), \tag{3.1}$$

we obtain the isomorphism

$$\tau \circ \Phi_\lambda\colon M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}, \tag{3.2}$$

where the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is given by Theorem 2.2. Let us note that $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ and also $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}; \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ are semisimple $\mathfrak{l}$-modules.

It is well-known that the Fischer decomposition (cf. Appendix A) for the spinor-valued polynomials yields an $\mathfrak{l}$-module isomorphism

$$\varphi\colon \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{a,b \in \mathbb{N}_0} X^b M_a, \tag{3.3}$$

where $M_a = M_a^+ \oplus M_a^-$ for even n and M_a for odd n, respectively, is the subspace of $\ker D$ of a-homogeneous $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$-valued polynomials in the variables $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$-invariant.

Lemma 3.1. Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then we have for all $v_m \in M_m$

1)

$$DX^k v_m = -k\, X^{k-1} v_m, \tag{3.4}$$

if k is even, and

2)

$$DX^k v_m = -(2m+n+k-1)\, X^{k-1} v_m, \tag{3.5}$$

if k is odd.

Proof. Using (A.3), we have

$$DX^k v_m = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{j+1} X^j (2E+n) X^{k-j-1} v_m = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{j+1} (2(m+k-j-1)+n) X^{k-1} v_m$$

for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $v_m \in M_m$. The specialization to k even and k odd, respectively, implies the result. □

Lemma 3.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then we have for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$

1)

$$[\partial_{x_j}, X^k] = -k\varepsilon_j x_j X^{k-2}, \tag{3.6}$$

if k is even, and

2)

$$[\partial_{x_j}, X^k] = \varepsilon_j e_j X^{k-1} - (k-1)\varepsilon_j x_j X^{k-2}, \tag{3.7}$$

if k is odd.

Proof. A direct computation gives $[\partial_{x_j}, X] = \varepsilon_j e_j$ and $[\partial_{x_j}, X^2] = -2\varepsilon_j x_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then for k even, we have

$$[\partial_{x_j}, X^k] = \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} X^{2r} [\partial_{x_j}, X^2] X^{k-2r-2} = -2 \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \varepsilon_j x_j X^{k-2} = -k\varepsilon_j x_j X^{k-2}.$$

For k odd, we may write

$$[\partial_{x_j}, X^k] = [\partial_{x_j}, X] X^{k-1} + X[\partial_{x_j}, X^{k-1}] = \varepsilon_j e_j X^{k-1} - (k-1)\varepsilon_j x_j X^{k-2},$$

where we used $[\partial_{x_j}, X^{k-1}] = -(k-1)\varepsilon_j x_j X^{k-3}$. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.3. Let us introduce the differential operators $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{u}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{End} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ by

$$P_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j \hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_j), \tag{3.8}$$

$$P_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_j), \tag{3.9}$$

$$P_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j \partial_{x_j} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_j). \tag{3.10}$$

Then these operators are given by the explicit formulas

$$P_1 = \big(E_x - \lambda + \tfrac{3}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}XD\big)D, \tag{3.11}$$

$$P_2 = -\tfrac{1}{2}X^2D^2 + \big(E_x - \lambda + \tfrac{n}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\big)E_x + \tfrac{1}{2}XD, \tag{3.12}$$

$$P_3 = \big(\lambda - \tfrac{1}{2}E_x - 2\big)D^2. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of (2.29) and the commutation relations $[E_x, X] = X$, $[E_x, D] = -D$. □

Now, we shall find the subspace $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a semisimple $\mathfrak{l}$-module, we can assume that a solution R of the system (2.29) is contained in some $\mathfrak{l}$-isotypical component. As $R \in \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}$, we have $R \in \ker P_1 \cap \ker P_2 \cap \ker P_3$ by the construction of P_1, P_2 and P_3.

Therefore, we shall examine the common kernel of the differential operators P_1, P_2 and P_3, relying on the results of Appendix A. In particular, we classify all solutions of the system of partial differential equations given by P_1, P_2, P_3. After that we verify that these solutions are in the solution space of (2.29) as well.

From Appendix A and (3.3), we know that the $\mathfrak{l}$-isotypical components of $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ are of the form $X^k M_m^{\pm}$ for even n and $X^k M_m$ for odd n, for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let us assume that $R \in X^k M_m$, so we have $R = X^k v_m$ for $v_m \in M_m$.

If $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is even, then as a consequence of Lemma 3.1 we have

$$P_1 X^k v_m = -k\big(\tfrac{k}{2} - \lambda - \tfrac{n}{2} + \tfrac{3}{2}\big)X^{k-1}v_m, \tag{3.14}$$

$$P_2 X^k v_m = \big((m+k)\big(m + k - \lambda + \tfrac{n}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\big) - \tfrac{1}{2}k(2m+n+k-1)\big)X^k v_m, \tag{3.15}$$

$$P_3 X^k v_m = k(2m+n+k-2)\big(\lambda - \tfrac{1}{2}(m+k+2)\big)X^{k-2}v_m \tag{3.16}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. From (3.14) we obtain that $k = 0$ or $\lambda = \frac{k}{2} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$. First of all, let us assume $k = 0$. After substitution $k = 0$ into (3.15) and (3.16), we get either $m = 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ or $m \neq 0$, $\lambda = m + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$. Now, if we substitute $\lambda = \frac{k}{2} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$ into (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$P_2 X^k v_m = \tfrac{1}{2}(2m+k)(m+n-1)X^k v_m, \tag{3.17}$$

$$P_3 X^k v_m = -\tfrac{1}{2}k(2m+n+k-2)(m+n-1)X^{k-2}v_m, \tag{3.18}$$

which implies $k = 0$, $m = 0$, since we have $n \geq 3$.

Now, if $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is odd, then we have

$$P_1 X^k v_m = -(2m+n+k-1)\big(\tfrac{k}{2} - \lambda + m + 1\big)X^{k-1}v_m, \tag{3.19}$$

$$P_2 X^k v_m = \big((m+k)\big(m + k - \lambda + \tfrac{n}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\big) - \tfrac{1}{2}k(2m+n+k-1)\big)X^k v_m, \tag{3.20}$$

$$P_3 X^k v_m = (k-1)(2m+n+k-1)\big(\lambda - \tfrac{1}{2}(m+k+2)\big)X^{k-2}v_m \tag{3.21}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, from (3.19) we obtain that $\lambda = m + \frac{k}{2} + 1$. Hence, after substitution $\lambda = m + \frac{k}{2} + 1$ into (3.20) and (3.21) we obtain

$$P_2 X^k v_m = \tfrac{1}{2}m(n-k-1)X^k v_m, \tag{3.22}$$

$$P_3 X^k v_m = \tfrac{1}{2}m(k-1)(2m+n+k-1)X^{k-2}v_m, \tag{3.23}$$

which implies $m = 0$, since we have $n \geq 3$.

Therefore, there are three mutually exclusive cases giving potential solutions of (2.29):

1) $m = 0$, $k = 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and the $\mathfrak{l}$-module is M_0;

2) $m = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ odd, $\lambda = \frac{k}{2} + 1$ and the $\mathfrak{l}$-module is $X^k M_0$;

3) $m \neq 0$, $k = 0$, $\lambda = m + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ and the $\mathfrak{l}$-module is M_m.

We shall work out each case separately.

Case 1. Let us assume $m = 0$, $k = 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have $R = v_0$, where $v_0 \in M_0$. Since $v_0 \in \ker D$ and $\partial_{x_i} v_0 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we obtain $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_i)v_0 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Therefore, we have

$$M_0 \subset \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3.24}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Case 2. Let us assume $m = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ odd and $\lambda = \frac{k}{2} + 1$. Then we have $R = X^k v_0$, where $v_0 \in M_0$. By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} DX^k v_0 &= -(n + k - 1)X^{k-1} v_0, \\ D^2 X^k v_0 &= (k - 1)(n + k - 1)X^{k-2} v_0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

for all $v_0 \in M_0$. Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_i)X^k v_0 &= \big(\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_i x_i D^2 + \partial_{x_i}(E_x - \lambda + \tfrac{n}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2}) + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_i e_i D\big)X^k v_0 \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}(k-1)(n+k-1)\varepsilon_i x_i X^{k-2} v_0 \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}(n+k-1)(X^k \partial_{x_i} + \varepsilon_i e_i X^{k-1} - (k-1)\varepsilon_i x_i X^{k-2})v_0 \\ &\quad - \tfrac{1}{2}(n+k-1)\varepsilon_i e_i X^{k-1} v_0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_0 \in M_0$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Therefore, we have

$$X^k M_0 \subset \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3.26}$$

for $\lambda = \frac{k}{2} + 1$ and k odd natural number.

Case 3. Let us assume $m \neq 0$, $k = 0$ and $\lambda = m + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$. Then we have $R = v_m$, where $v_m \in M_m$. Since $v_m \in \ker D$ and $E_x v_m = m v_m$, we obtain that $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_i)v_m = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Therefore, we have

$$M_m \subset \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3.27}$$

for $\lambda = m + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let us assume $n \geq 3$ is odd. Then we have

$$\tau \circ \Phi_{\lambda+\rho} \colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{N},\ \lambda + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}, \\ M_0 \oplus M_{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \\ M_0 \oplus X^{2\lambda+n-2} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the discussion in Case 1 up to Case 3. □

Theorem 3.5. Let us assume $n \geq 3$ is even. Then we have

$$\tau \circ \Phi_{\lambda+\rho} \colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}, \\ M_0 \oplus X^{2\lambda+n-2} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N},\ \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}, \\ M_0 \oplus M_{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \oplus X^{2\lambda+n-2} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the discussion in Case 1 up to Case 3. □

4 Equivariant differential operators on spinors in conformal geometry

Given a complex (semi)simple finite-dimensional $\widetilde{P}$-module $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$, we consider the induced representation of $\widetilde{G}$ on the space $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\mathbb{V})$ of smooth sections of the homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = \widetilde{G} \times_{\widetilde{P}} \mathbb{V} \to \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{P}$,

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{P}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V});\ f(gp) = \sigma(p^{-1})f(g),\ \text{for all } g \in \widetilde{G},\ p \in \widetilde{P}\}. \quad (4.1)$$

We denote by $J^k_e(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}}$ the space of k-jets in $e \in \widetilde{G}$ of $\widetilde{P}$-equivariant smooth mappings for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and by $J^\infty_e(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}}$ its projective limit

$$J^\infty_e(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}} = \varprojlim_k J^k_e(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Then there is a non-degenerate $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{P})$-invariant pairing between $J^\infty_e(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}}$ and $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V}^*)$, which identifies the generalized Verma module $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V}^*)$ with the vector space of all $\mathbb{C}$-linear mappings $J^\infty_e(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}} \to \mathbb{C}$ that factor through $J^k_e(\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{V})^{\widetilde{P}}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Here we denoted by $\mathbb{V}^*$ the dual $\mathfrak{g}$-module equipped with the dual action of $\mathfrak{g}$.

There is a classical consequence of the last statement explaining the relationship between the geometrical problem of finding $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operators between induced representations and the algebraic problem of finding homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules, cf. [3], [12]. Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be complex (semi)simple finite-dimensional $\widetilde{P}$-modules. Then the vector space of $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operators from $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\mathbb{V})$ to $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\mathbb{W})$ is isomorphic to the vector space of $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{P})$-homomorphisms of generalized Verma modules from $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{W}^*)$ to $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V}^*)$.

In the following theorem we retain the notation of Section 1; for the group $\mathrm{Spin}(p, q, \mathbb{R})$ we denote by $\mathbb{S}$ the spinor representation for $n = p + q$ odd, and the direct sum of the half-spinor representations $\mathbb{S}_\pm$ for $n = p+q$ even. If a character $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{P}}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ defines a group character e^λ of $\widetilde{P}$, then the representation $\mathbb{S}$ may be twisted by e^λ to the representations $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ of the parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{M}AU$, $\widetilde{L} = \widetilde{M}A$, with $\widetilde{M} \simeq \mathrm{Spin}(p, q, \mathbb{R})$ and A acting in the one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ (U acts trivially).

Theorem 4.1. Let $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{Spin}_o(p+1, q+1, \mathbb{R})$ be the identity component of the spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(p+1, q+1, \mathbb{R})$, $n = p + q \geq 3$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{P}}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, let $\widetilde{P} \simeq (\mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{R})_+ \times \mathrm{Spin}(p, q, \mathbb{R})) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ be the maximal (conformal) parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ with the unipotent radical in the Langlands-Iwasawa decomposition for $\widetilde{P}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. For $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{S}_\lambda$ we have $\mathbb{V}^* \simeq \mathbb{S}^*_{-\lambda}$. Then the singular vectors in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 correspond, in the non-compact picture of the induced representations, to $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operators as follows:

1) Let $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(n-2-a)\widetilde{\omega}$ and $\mu = -\frac{1}{2}(n-2+a)\widetilde{\omega}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ odd. Then there are $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operators

$$D_a \colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{S}^*_{-\lambda}) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{S}^*_{-\mu}) \quad (4.3)$$

of order $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. The infinitesimal intertwining property of D_a is

$$D_a \pi^*_{-\frac{1}{2}(a+2)}(X) = \pi^*_{\frac{1}{2}(a-2)}(X) D_a \quad (4.4)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. We call these operators conformal powers of the Dirac operator.

2) Let $\lambda = \big(a + \frac{1}{2}\big)\widetilde{\omega}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operators

$$T_a \colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{S}^*_{-\lambda}) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}), (M_a)^*) \quad (4.5)$$

of order $a \in \mathbb{N}$. These operators are called conformal twistor operators on spinors.

The remaining collection of singular vectors are $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operator given by a multiple of the identity map.

Appendix A The Fischer decomposition for $\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$

Here we recall a well-known result, cf. [5], describing the action of the simple part of the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$-module structure on the conformal generalized Verma module $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})$ for arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

The decomposition is given by the spin Howe duality for the pair $\mathfrak{osp}(1,2,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ by (2.28) and $\mathfrak{osp}(1,2,\mathbb{C})$ acts by

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j \partial_{x_j}, \qquad E = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \partial_{x_j}, \qquad X = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j e_j x_j. \tag{A.1}$$

This decomposition is of the form

$$\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho} \simeq \bigoplus_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}_0} X^b M_a, \quad M_a = \big(\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}\big) \cap \ker D, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
P_0\otimes\mathbb{S} & & P_1\otimes\mathbb{S} & & P_2\otimes\mathbb{S} & & P_3\otimes\mathbb{S} & & P_4\otimes\mathbb{S} & & P_5\otimes\mathbb{S} & \dots \\
\| & & \| & & \| & & \| & & \| & & \| & \\
M_0 & \longrightarrow & XM_0 & \longrightarrow & X^2M_0 & \longrightarrow & X^3M_0 & \longrightarrow & X^4M_0 & \longrightarrow & X^5M_0 & \dots \\
 & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & \\
 & & M_1 & \longrightarrow & XM_1 & \longrightarrow & X^2M_1 & \longrightarrow & X^3_sM_1 & \longrightarrow & X^4M_1 & \dots \\
 & & & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & \\
 & & & & M_2 & \longrightarrow & XM_2 & \longrightarrow & X^2M_2 & \longrightarrow & X^3M_2 & \dots \\
 & & & & & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & \\
 & & & & & & M_3 & \longrightarrow & XM_3 & \longrightarrow & X^2M_3 & \dots \\
 & & & & & & & & \oplus & & \oplus & \\
 & & & & & & & & M_4 & \longrightarrow & XM_4 & \dots \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \oplus & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & M_5 & \dots
\end{array}$$

In the scheme above we used the notation $P_a = \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]_a$ for the a-homogeneous polynomials and $M_a = M_a^+ \oplus M_a^-$ for even n and M_a for odd n. The operators D and X act in the previous picture horizontally, E preserves each simple orthogonal module in the decomposition and the $\mathfrak{osp}(1,2,\mathbb{C})$-commutation relations of $\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$-invariant operators are

$$[E,D] = -D, \qquad \{D,X\} = -2E - n, \qquad [E,X] = X. \tag{A.3}$$

This implies the Fischer decomposition for any real form $\mathfrak{so}(p,q,\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$ on the space of spinor valued polynomials on the real subspace $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $p+q=n$. In more detail, since M_a^+ and M_a^- for even n and M_a for odd n are irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{so}(p,q,\mathbb{R})$, and the operators E, X, D are $\mathfrak{so}(p,q,\mathbb{R})$-invariant, we obtain that X^bM_a are representations of $\mathfrak{so}(p,q,\mathbb{R})$ for all $a,b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The action of $\mathfrak{so}(p,q,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is given by

$$\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j E_{ij} - E_{ji} \mapsto \varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j x_i \partial_{x_j} - x_j \partial_{x_i} - \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_i e_i e_j \tag{A.4}$$

for all $i,j = 1,2,\dots,n$ satisfying $i \neq j$. Because the relations (A.3) are independent of the signature, the Fischer decomposition does not depend on the signature of the real form as well.

Acknowledgement

L. Křižka is supported by PRVOUK p47, P. Somberg acknowledges the financial support from the grant GA CR P201/12/G028.

References

[1] Helga Baum, Thomas Friedrich, Ralf Grunewald, and Ines Kath, *Twistors and Killing spinors on Riemannian manifolds*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, vol. 124, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1991.

[2] Brian D. Boe and David H. Collingwood, *A comparison theory for the structure of induced representations. II*, Math. Z. **190** (1985), no. 1, 1–11.

[3] David H. Collingwood and Brad Shelton, *A duality theorem for extensions of induced highest weight modules*, Pacific J. Math. **146** (1990), no. 2, 227–237.

[4] Sean N. Curry and Rod A. Gover, *An introduction to conformal geometry and tractor calculus, with a view to applications in general relativity*, `arXiv:1412.7559` (2014).

[5] Richard Delanghe, Franciscus Sommen, and Vladimír Souček, *Clifford algebra and spinor-valued functions. A function theory for the Dirac operator*, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 53, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.

[6] Michael Eastwood and Jan Slovák, *Semiholonomic Verma modules*, J. Algebra **197** (1997), no. 2, 424–448.

[7] Matthias Fischmann, *On conformal powers of the Dirac operator on spin manifolds*, Arch. Math. **50** (2014), no. 4, 237–254.

[8] Thomas Friedrich, *Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2000.

[9] Jonathan Holland and George Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the ambient Dirac operator*, `arXiv:math/0112033` (2001).

[10] Libor Křižka and Petr Somberg, *Algebraic analysis of scalar generalized Verma modules of Heisenberg parabolic type I.: A_n-series*, `arXiv:1502.07095` (2015), accepted for publication in Transformation Groups.

[11] Toshiyuki Kobayashi, Bent Ørsted, Petr Somberg, and Vladimír Souček, *Branching laws for Verma modules and applications in parabolic geometry. I*, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1796–1852.

[12] Bertram Kostant, *Verma modules and the existence of quasi-invariant differential operators*, Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 466, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 101–128.

[13] Jan Slovák, *Natural operators on conformal manifolds*, Research Lecture Notes, University of Vienna, 1992, (Habilitation Thesis, Masaryk University, 1993).

(L. Křižka) Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Mathematical Institute, Sokolovská 83, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic
E-mail address: `krizka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`

(P. Somberg) Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Mathematical Institute, Sokolovská 83, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic
E-mail address: `somberg@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`